POSTCOLONIAL STUDIES IN THE ANTHROPOCENE: BRIDGING PERSPECTIVES FOR A SUSTAINABLE FUTURE

- **Dilip Barad**

Prof & Head, Department of English,
Maharaja Krishnakumarsinhji
Bhavnagar University, Gujarat, India.

Abstract

This research article explores the evolving landscape of postcolonial studies in the context of environmental challenges. Drawing from the insights of prominent scholars like Gayatri Chakravorty Spivak and Dipesh Chakrabarty, it delves into the intersections of postcolonialism, environmentalism, and global capitalism.

The article begins by examining how some postcolonialists have reevaluated their perspectives, prompted by the pressing issues posed by environmental studies. It discusses the critical work of environmental activist Vandana Shiva, who highlights the historical connection between colonialism and the destruction of ecological diversity. Furthermore, the article navigates the diverse opinions within feminist environmentalism regarding pre-colonial cultures.

A significant portion of the article delves into the concept of "spatial amnesia" as outlined by Rob Nixon and the American wilderness obsession in environmental literature. It also addresses the reluctance of postcolonial criticism to engage with environmental questions.

The research article emphasizes the importance of incorporating environmental issues within the postcolonial studies canon, highlighting the struggles of environmental activists in the third world against multinational corporations.

Additionally, the article explores the concept of internal colonialism in the formally decolonized world and its links to the dynamics of global capitalism. It delves into Karl Marx's notion of primitive accumulation and Rosa Luxemburg's ideas on capitalism's reliance on non-capitalist social formations.

Furthermore, it discusses accumulation by dispossession and its role in neoliberal development, along with recent movements like Occupy Wall Street that shed light on systemic inequalities.

The article concludes by proposing a new universalism based on species thinking, emphasizing the need for a more comprehensive historical understanding in postcolonial studies. It underscores the interconnectedness of colonial legacies and contemporary global challenges, advocating for a postcolonial critique that extends beyond the boundaries of the Anglo-American academy.

Keywords: *Postcolonial studies, environmentalism, environmental challenges, spatial amnesia, American wilderness obsession, green criticism, multinational corporations, primitive accumulation, Anthropocene, equitable future.*

POSTCOLONIAL STUDIES IN THE ANTHROPOCENE: BRIDGING PERSPECTIVES FOR A SUSTAINABLE FUTURE

- **Dilip Barad**

Introduction:

In the realm of postcolonial studies, a field that has tirelessly examined the legacies of colonialism and imperialism on global societies and cultures, a critical reevaluation is underway. Pioneering scholars like Gayatri Chakravorty Spivak boldly assert that they have moved beyond the traditional postcolonial perspective, suggesting that "postcolonial is the day before yesterday" (Spivak, 2013: 2). Such statements mark a significant intellectual shift, one that prompts us to consider the relevance of postcolonial studies in the contemporary world. (Loomba)

This shift is not a mere intellectual exercise; it is a response to the daunting challenges posed by environmental studies. As Dipesh Chakrabarty notes, his extensive readings in globalization theories, Marxist analyses of capital, subaltern studies, and postcolonial criticism did not prepare him for the task of grappling with the "planetary crisis of climate change" (Chakrabarty, 2009: 199). This newfound urgency surrounding environmental issues compels us to reexamine the boundaries of postcolonial studies and explore how it intersects with environmentalism, indigenous rights, and the dynamics of global capitalism.

In this article, we embark on a journey to explore these intersections and challenges. We aim to answer a pressing research question: How does the evolving landscape of environmental studies affect the trajectory and relevance of postcolonial studies in today's world? To tackle this question comprehensively, we have structured this article into several sections, each delving into a distinct aspect of the relationship between postcolonialism and environmentalism. (Loomba)

We begin by examining the intersection of postcolonial studies and environmentalism, considering how environmental challenges have prompted scholars to rethink their perspectives. We also delve into the works of environmental activist Vandana Shiva, who unearths the profound connection between colonialism and ecological destruction (Shiva, 1988).

Our journey continues by exploring the concept of "spatial amnesia" and the American wilderness obsession in environmental literature, shedding light on why postcolonial criticism has historically been hesitant to engage with environmental issues.

Moving forward, we delve into the struggles waged by environmental activists against multinational corporations in the third world, emphasizing the need to incorporate these narratives into the postcolonial studies canon.

Additionally, we discuss the concept of internal colonialism in formally decolonized regions and its implications in the era of global capitalism. We draw from the ideas of Karl Marx and Rosa Luxemburg to elucidate the ongoing dynamics of primitive accumulation.

Furthermore, we explore the notion of accumulation by dispossession and its central role in neoliberal development, connecting it to recent social movements like Occupy Wall Street that highlight systemic inequalities.

Finally, we conclude by proposing a new universalism grounded in species thinking and underscore the necessity of a more profound historical understanding in postcolonial studies. This article thus endeavors to navigate the complex terrain where postcolonialism, environmentalism, and global capitalism intersect, aiming to contribute to a holistic understanding of our contemporary world.

Section 1: The Intersection of Postcolonial Studies and Environmentalism

In recent years, scholars within the realm of postcolonial studies have found themselves confronted by an existential question: How does the ever-pressing environmental crisis reshape the boundaries and concerns of postcolonialism? This question has spurred a reevaluation of traditional postcolonial perspectives, prompting some leading voices, such as Gayatri Chakravorty Spivak, to assert that the field has moved beyond its historical moorings, marking it as a relic of a bygone era. Spivak's declaration, "postcolonial is the day before yesterday" (Spivak, 2013: 2), encapsulates the growing sense that postcolonial studies must evolve to grapple with the complex challenges of our time.

One scholar who has been at the forefront of this shift is Dipesh Chakrabarty. Despite his extensive engagement with theories of globalization, Marxist analyses of capital, subaltern studies, and postcolonial criticism over the past decades, he acknowledges that these intellectual tools have proven insufficient in addressing the "planetary crisis of climate change" (Chakrabarty, 2009: 199). Chakrabarty's realization underscores the need for postcolonial studies to expand its horizons and engage with environmental concerns more deeply.

One of the pivotal figures in this exploration is Vandana Shiva, an environmental activist whose work has exposed the intricate connection between colonialism and the wanton destruction of ecological diversity. Shiva argues that the growths of capitalism, and more recently, the ascendancy of transnational corporations, have exacerbated dynamics initially set in motion during the colonial era. These dynamics have led to the erosion of sustainable local cultures, many of which were notably more ecologically attuned and women-friendly. Central to this perspective is the recognition that women's roles in these societies were fundamentally tied to the production of food and fodder, underpinning their close relationship with the environment (Shiva, 1988).

However, it is crucial to acknowledge that within feminist environmentalism, differing viewpoints emerge regarding the assessment of pre-colonial cultures. While some argue, as Shiva does, that these societies were more ecologically harmonious, others take a more skeptical stance, highlighting that they were not devoid of stratification and patriarchy. Nevertheless, a consensus prevails: the intricate interplay between ecology and human culture cannot be overlooked. Particularly in what is often referred to as the "third world," it becomes evident that discussions surrounding environmental preservation must also address the urgent needs of human lives and communities (Agarwal, 1999).

This section underscores the paradigm shift within postcolonial studies, driven by environmental imperatives. As scholars increasingly revisit their perspectives, Vandana Shiva's critical insights on the colonial-environmental nexus challenge the field's traditional boundaries. Moreover, the varied perspectives within feminist environmentalism add complexity to the discourse, further emphasizing the inextricable connection between ecology and culture in the postcolonial context. These discussions set the stage for a more holistic exploration of how environmental concerns intersect with postcolonial studies.

Section 2: Spatial Amnesia and the Wilderness Obsession

The concept of "spatial amnesia," as articulated by Rob Nixon, sheds light on the critical challenge facing postcolonial criticism in engaging with environmental issues. Nixon's notion centers on the tendency, particularly in the Western world, to forget or overlook the histories and geographies of colonized peoples and their interactions with the environment. This spatial amnesia is particularly problematic because it allows for the erasure of indigenous voices and experiences from the environmental discourse.

Nixon's concept serves as a poignant reminder of the importance of considering the histories and legacies of colonization when discussing environmentalism (Nixon, 2005). It highlights how narratives that celebrate the wilderness and pristine landscapes often do so at the expense of acknowledging the dispossession, violence, and environmental impact imposed on colonized territories and communities. This erasure of historical and geographical context can perpetuate an incomplete and distorted understanding of the complex relationships between humans and their environments.

In the context of American environmental literature, the "wilderness obsession" has been a recurring theme. This obsession is characterized by a romanticized view of untouched natural landscapes, which often ignores or downplays the historical and cultural realities of these places. Prominent works in American literature, such as Henry David Thoreau's "Walden" or Jack London's stories of the Yukon, have celebrated the wilderness as a pristine and uninhabited space, reinforcing the myth of the "empty land."

This wilderness obsession is not confined to literature but extends to natural history and conservation efforts. The idea of untouched wilderness is celebrated in conservation rhetoric, often leading to the displacement or marginalization of indigenous communities living in these areas. It is, in essence, a form of spatial amnesia, as it ignores the indigenous presence, the history of dispossession, and the ongoing struggles for land rights and environmental justice.

The problem, however, is not just one of reliance on different models of colonialism; Vilashini Cooppan points out that from its inception

> "[there is] a prevailing version of postcolonial studies in the United States that so embraces its aura of 'new work' and its dual allegiances to high theory and a rather reified, distanced, and monolithic 'Third World literature' that it largely estranges itself from the individual and collective histories of several important allied traditions such as American studies, Native-American studies, African-American studies, Asian-American studies, Latino studies, and Gay and Lesbian studies. (Cooppan 1999: 7)"

Given this backdrop, it becomes evident why postcolonial criticism has been suspicious of what is often termed "green criticism." Postcolonial scholars have approached environmentalism with caution because they are concerned that it may perpetuate narratives of the wilderness that erase the histories of colonization, dispossession, and environmental exploitation. They argue that a narrow focus on ecological concerns without addressing the broader issues of colonial legacies and social justice can lead to an incomplete and potentially harmful environmental discourse.

The spatial amnesia, the wilderness obsession, and the suspicion of "green criticism" collectively underscore the complex terrain where environmental concerns intersect with postcolonial studies. These concepts emphasize the imperative of acknowledging historical

and geographical contexts in environmental discourse and of centering the voices and experiences of colonized peoples within the broader narrative of environmentalism.

Section 3: Environmental Activism vs. Multinational Corporations

In the global landscape, a poignant struggle unfolds between environmental activists and formidable multinational corporations, particularly in the vulnerable regions of the third world. This struggle embodies a clash of interests, ideologies, and visions for the future of these territories. The battles that have erupted in these regions spotlight the dire consequences of unchecked corporate power on local ecosystems and communities.

One prominent figure that exemplifies the courage and determination of environmental activists in the face of multinational corporations is Ken Saro-Wiwa, a Nigerian writer, and activist. Saro-Wiwa was a vocal advocate for the rights of the Ogoni people and the preservation of their homeland, the Niger Delta, which had been severely impacted by oil extraction activities conducted by multinational oil companies. His relentless efforts to expose the environmental devastation caused by these corporations and to demand justice for the affected communities led to his tragic execution in 1995. Saro-Wiwa's sacrifice, along with the struggles of many others like him, has come to symbolize the broader battle against corporate exploitation and environmental degradation in the third world (Loomba).

These battles in the third world, where environmental activists stand against the destructive forces of multinational corporations, underscore the urgent need for the inclusion of such issues within the purview of postcolonial studies. While postcolonialism traditionally focused on the examination of colonial legacies and cultural resistance, the contemporary landscape demands a broader scope that encompasses the intricate interplay between corporate interests, environmental justice, and the legacies of colonization.

Incorporating these narratives into postcolonial studies allows for a more comprehensive understanding of the multifaceted challenges faced by formerly colonized nations as they grapple with the legacy of resource exploitation. The struggles against multinational corporations in these regions demonstrate the ongoing power dynamics, wherein corporations, often in collaboration with nation-states, despoil land, and devastate communities for profit. Such narratives challenge the conventional boundaries of postcolonial discourse and invite scholars to examine how these dynamics perpetuate and reproduce forms of colonialism, albeit in different guises.

The clashes between environmental activists and multinational corporations in the third world serve as a stark reminder of the continued relevance of postcolonial studies. These struggles exemplify the ongoing struggles against environmental injustice and exploitation, urging us to expand the scope of postcolonial critique to encompass contemporary challenges that extend beyond cultural and historical dimensions. Saro-Wiwa's legacy and the efforts of countless others compel us to recognize that postcolonial studies must engage with these critical issues to provide a more holistic understanding of our ever-evolving world.

Section 4: Internal Colonialism in the Decolonized World

The intersection of questions regarding indigeneity and the environment underscores a crucial aspect of postcolonial studies often overlooked: internal colonialism. This concept reveals that the dynamics of colonialism persist within formally decolonized regions, exerting profound effects on the environment and local populations.

In many decolonized nations, the struggles of indigenous peoples exemplify the ongoing presence of internal colonialism. Indigenous communities, often pushed to the margins of society, continue to face dispossession and disenfranchisement as their ancestral lands are encroached upon for resource extraction and economic development. This process has a profound impact on both the environment and the livelihoods of these communities, echoing the historical patterns of external colonialism.

One illustrative example of this phenomenon can be found in India's Narmada Bachao Andolan (NBA) or Narmada River Conservation Movement. The construction of large dams along the Narmada River by the Indian government and multinational corporations has led to the displacement of indigenous communities and irreversible environmental damage. The NBA, led by activists like Medha Patkar, has been at the forefront of resistance against such projects, highlighting the intersection of environmental and indigenous rights issues.

The protests highlighted not just the ecological damage but the displacement of thousands of tribal peoples all across the Narmada valley (Loomba). Finally, it was the Indian Supreme Court which ruled that construction of the dams should continue. Chittaroopa Palit, one of the leaders of the NBA, says that she and her comrades 'learnt a lot about the structures and processes of globalization through these struggles'. Especially valuable was the lesson that

> "though international political factors, such as the character of the governments involved, the existence of able support groups in the North that play an important part, they cannot supplant the role of a mass movement struggling on the ground. Soon after the SPD government in Berlin refused a guarantee to Siemens, the German multinational, for building the dam in Maheshwar, it agreed to underwrite the company's involvement in the Tehri dam in the Himalayas and the catastrophic Three Gorges Dam in China—both just as destructive as the Narmada project; but in neither instance were there strong mass struggles on the ground." (Palit 2003: 91)

In addition to India, other regions, such as Central India, have become battlegrounds for multinational mining companies. The extraction of iron and bauxite resources in these areas has led to severe environmental degradation and conflicts with local populations. Notably, the movement here is led by Maoist guerrillas who resist both the exploitation of natural resources and the continued marginalization of indigenous communities.

Writer Arundhati Roy reminds us that tribal people in Central India have a history of resistance that predates Mao by centuries:

> "the Ho, the Oraon, the Kols, the Santhals, the Mundas and the Gonds have all rebelled several times, against the British, against zamindars and moneylenders. The rebellions were cruelly crushed, many thousands killed, but the people were never conquered. Even after Independence, tribal people were at the heart of the first uprising that could be described as Maoist, in Naxalbari village in West Bengal (where the word Naxalite—now used interchangeably with 'Maoist'—originates)." (Roy 2010: n. p.)

These examples illustrate how global capital, acting in concert with nation-states, continues to encroach upon the natural and human resources of formally decolonized regions. This forced and continual encroachment mirrors the historical patterns of colonialism and the dynamics of plunder that marked its inception. The concept of primitive accumulation, initially theorized by Karl Marx, continues to be relevant, as wealth continues to be accumulated by a privileged few at the expense of marginalized and dispossessed populations.

In essence, the ongoing encroachment of "the commons," which refers to shared land and resources found worldwide, perpetuates the legacy of internal colonialism. It is a reminder

that, while formal colonial rule may have ended, the exploitative dynamics of resource extraction and dispossession persist. This realization challenges postcolonial studies to expand its boundaries, acknowledging that internal colonialism is a feature of formerly decolonized nations and settler colonial societies alike.

The intersection of indigeneity, the environment, and the encroachment of global capital highlights the enduring presence of internal colonialism in postcolonial contexts. This phenomenon reiterates the need for a more inclusive and holistic approach within postcolonial studies, one that encompasses the ongoing struggles against the dynamics of plunder and exploitation that have marked the history of colonialism.

Section 5: Primitive Accumulation, Capitalism, and Dispossession

Karl Marx's concept of "primitive accumulation" serves as a foundational pillar in understanding the birth and expansion of capitalism. This concept fundamentally explains how capitalism emerged and the inherent processes of dispossession that underpin it.

Primitive accumulation refers to the historical process by which the bourgeoisie, or capitalist class, initially accumulated wealth, resources, and capital to establish the capitalist system. Marx described this process in the context of England, where it began in the late 15th century. Initially, it involved the "forcible usurpation of communal property" through acts of violence, such as the enclosure of common lands. Later, it was institutionalized through Parliamentary Acts for the Enclosures of the Commons. This process dispossessed large segments of the population, transforming them into landless laborers and forcing them into a cash economy. Their labor, as well as their very existence, became "commodified."

Primitive accumulation represents the violent and exploitative aspect of capitalism's historical development. It laid the foundation for the capitalist system by concentrating wealth and resources in the hands of a few, creating the conditions for the emergence of industrial capitalism.

Furthermore, Marx noted that capitalism, by its very nature, requires constant expansion. It needs new markets for its goods, resources, and labor that cannot be entirely contained within the existing capitalist system. Capitalism perpetually seeks opportunities to "trade" with non-capitalist social formations. To this end, capitalism employs various methods, including colonial policies, international loan systems, and the establishment of spheres of interest. These methods can involve force, fraud, oppression, and looting.

Rosa Luxemburg, a Marxist thinker, and activist, expanded on Marx's ideas by emphasizing the significance of capitalism's reliance on non-capitalist social formations. In *The Accumulation of Capital*, she suggested the need to revise Marx; she argued that Marx visualised capitalism as a closed system, sufficient in itself She argued that for capitalism to thrive, it must continuously access and appropriate productive forces from around the world. Capital is driven to procure its means of production, resources, and labor from various corners of the earth, sometimes by force if necessary.

Thus it always needs to 'trade' with non-capitalist social formations by whatever means necessary:

> "Its predominant methods are colonial policy, an international loan system, a policy of spheres of interest—and war. Force, fraud, oppression, looting are openly displayed without any attempt at concealment, and it requires an effort to discover within this tangle of political violence and contests of power the stern laws of the economic process." (1951: 452)

It also needs to encroach on spaces, workers, and goods (or 'productive forces') that lie outside its purview:

> "Capital, impelled to appropriate productive forces for purposes of exploitation, ransacks the whole world, it procures its means of production from all corners of the earth, seizing them, if necessary, by force, from all levels of civilization and all forms of society." (358)
>
> "Capitalism's central dynamic, the constant search for markets, resources and labour, thus involves the ongoing need to draw in whatever still remains open of the non-capitalist environment." (446)

Luxemburg's insights into "the international loan system" and the necessity of expanding beyond the boundaries of capitalism underscore the global and predatory nature of capitalism.

In essence, Luxemburg's ideas remain relevant today because they highlight that capitalism's need for expansion and accumulation never ceases. Capitalism's historical geography continues to be marked by the displacement of peasant populations, privatization of formerly common property resources, suppression of alternative forms of production and consumption, and the takeover of traditional practices by corporate interests. Moreover, Luxemburg's observations about the methods employed by capitalism, such as colonialism, are indicative of the enduring dynamics of plunder and exploitation.

The concepts of primitive accumulation, capitalism's perpetual need for expansion, and Luxemburg's insights underscore the intrinsic link between capitalism, dispossession, and the ongoing search for markets and resources. These concepts shed light on the contemporary issues of land and resource exploitation in formerly colonized regions, illustrating how capitalism's predatory dynamics persist in the postcolonial world.

Section 6: Accumulation by Dispossession and Neoliberal Development

Accumulation by dispossession is a concept central to understanding the dynamics of neoliberal development. Coined by David Harvey, it describes the process through which capital accumulates by forcibly dispossessing individuals and communities of their assets, resources, and rights. (Harvey, 2005) This process plays a pivotal role in advancing the interests of global capital, particularly in the neoliberal era.

Harvey points out that

> "All the features of primitive accumulation that Marx mentions have remained powerfully present with capitalism's historical geography until now. Displacement of peasant populations and the formation of a landless proletariat has accelerated in countries such as Mexico and India in the last three decades, many formerly common property resources, such as water, have been privatised (often at World Bank insistence) … alternative (indigenous and even, in the case of the United States, petty commodity) forms of production and consumption have been suppressed. Nationalised industries have been privatised. Family farming has been taken over by agribusiness. And slavery has not disappeared (particularly in the sex trade)." (Harvey 2005: 145–46)

In neoliberal development, accumulation by dispossession takes various forms, often targeting vulnerable populations and their livelihoods. One notable example is the proliferation of microfinance schemes, which are often hailed as tools for financial inclusion but can paradoxically result in dispossession. These schemes extend small loans to

impoverished individuals, particularly in the global South, but frequently impose exorbitant interest rates and rigid repayment terms. When borrowers are unable to meet these terms, they may lose their assets, land, or livelihoods, ultimately becoming victims of dispossession in the name of financial inclusion.

Older histories of race, empire and dispossession are re-inscribed in the pattern of dispossession within the heart of the new empire. Examining subprime and debt crisis in the United States, Paula Chakravartty and Denise Ferreira da Silva trace the racialised logic of dispossession that is evident in the United States. Asking who is the most vulnerable to dispossession, they note that

> "the question [is one] that Harvey does not even consider, one that he also seems to see as already asked and answered by the subprime mortgages themselves and their securitization, which is: what is it about blackness and Latinidad that turns one's house (roof, protection, and aspiration) and shelter into a death trap? ... How could anyone expect to profit from unpayable loans without debtors who were already marked by their racial/cultural difference ensuring that at least some among them would not be able to pay? This is precisely what makes 'high-risk' securities profitable." (Chakravartty and da Silva 2012: 367)

Thus, the subprime lending crisis in the United States exemplified how accumulation by dispossession operates within the heart of global capitalism. Predatory lending practices by financial institutions targeted low-income communities, particularly Black and Latinx populations. These practices, combined with complex financial instruments, led to the loss of homes and livelihoods for many vulnerable individuals and the subsequent financial crisis of 2008.

Inequality is an inherent feature of capitalism, both as a precondition and a result. The Occupy Wall Street (OWS) movement in 2012 starkly illustrated the growing public awareness of this inequality in the United States. Protesters highlighted the stark contrast between the government's bailout of failing banks and corporations and the indifference shown toward those who had been dispossessed of their jobs, homes, and pensions in the wake of the crisis.

The OWS movement drew attention to the privatization of public resources and the increasing concentration of wealth among a small elite. It underscored the need to interrogate the systems of inequality perpetuated by corporations, which often exploit hierarchies of race, gender, and national status to enrich themselves and consolidate their power.

The accumulation by dispossession is a critical concept in understanding the mechanisms of neoliberal development, where capital accumulation often occurs at the expense of vulnerable populations. Microfinance, subprime lending, and the Occupy Wall Street movement all exemplify how inequality is deeply embedded in capitalism, both historically and in its contemporary manifestations. These dynamics emphasize the ongoing relevance of examining issues of dispossession, inequality, and resistance within the broader context of postcolonial studies.

Section 7: Towards a New Universalism and the Anthropocene

Dipesh Chakrabarty's concept of the Anthropocene represents a profound shift in our understanding of human history and the environment. In this epoch, human beings have become "geological agents" in an unprecedented manner, profoundly altering the planet's

ecosystems and climate. Chakrabarty argues that the Anthropocene necessitates a reevaluation of our conventional notions of human freedom, justice, and historical critique.

Chakrabarty concedes that

> "Climate change, refracted through global capital, will no doubt accentuate the logic of inequality that runs through the rule of capital; some people will no doubt gain temporarily at the expense of others. But the whole crisis cannot be reduced to a story of capitalism. Unlike in the crises of capitalism, there are no lifeboats here for the rich and the privileged (witness the drought in Australia or recent fires in the wealthy neighborhoods of California)." (Chakrabarty 2009: 221)

In the context of the Anthropocene, traditional conceptions of human freedom, which focus on issues of injustice, oppression, and inequality within human-made systems, are rendered insufficient. Chakrabarty contends that these critiques fail to grasp the full extent of the crisis of climate change, which may persist on Earth for much longer than capitalism or undergo many more historic mutations. The environmental crisis, as a manifestation of the Anthropocene, disrupts the conventional narratives of human liberation and exploitation and challenges us to rethink our understanding of freedom.

Chakrabarty's notion of a "new universalism" is predicated on the concept of "species thinking." In the face of ecological catastrophes brought about by human activity, a universalism rooted in species thinking transcends the boundaries of human societies and encompasses all life forms on Earth. It necessitates a radical shift in perspective, moving away from human-centric approaches to those that consider the broader ecological context and the well-being of all species.

This new universalism challenges postcolonial studies to engage more deeply in historical work to understand the complex and evolving relationships between culture, geopolitics, and the environment. It calls for an approach that recognizes the interconnectedness of historical and contemporary forces that shape the Anthropocene. Chakrabarty urges postcolonial scholars to examine pivotal historical moments that shed light on the unfolding environmental crises and to confront the "catastrophic glimpse from above."

Engaging with history, particularly the history of the commons and the commonwealth, allows us to imagine alternative concepts of the commons and the commonwealth that may offer solutions to the anthropogenetic catastrophe surrounding us. This approach encourages scholars to consider the deep origins of the Anthropocene and explore interpretative strategies that help us navigate the multifaceted challenges of our rapidly changing world.

Thus, Dipesh Chakrabarty's concept of the Anthropocene challenges postcolonial studies to reevaluate our notions of human freedom, justice, and historical critique. The idea of a new universalism based on species thinking urges us to transcend human-centric perspectives and engage with the broader ecological context. This shift highlights the importance of historical work in understanding the complexities of the present and offers a path forward in addressing the environmental challenges of the Anthropocene.

Section 8: Conclusion and Future Directions

In this article, we have embarked on a journey through the complex intersections of postcolonial studies and environmentalism, shedding light on critical issues that demand our attention. Our exploration has revealed several key findings and insights that underscore the enduring relevance of postcolonial critique in addressing global challenges.

First and foremost, we have witnessed how some postcolonialists are rethinking their perspectives in response to the pressing environmental challenges of our time. Visionaries like Gayatri Chakravorty Spivak and Dipesh Chakrabarty have pushed the boundaries of postcolonial discourse, recognizing that the environmental crisis transcends conventional notions of liberation and oppression.

Moreover, we have delved into the works of environmental activists like Vandana Shiva, who have tirelessly exposed the intricate connection between colonialism and the destruction of environmental diversity. This connection underscores the inextricable link between colonial history and the globalized present, where the legacy of exploitation continues to shape environmental degradation.

Our exploration has also unveiled the complexities within feminist environmentalism, where differing perspectives on pre-colonial cultures challenge us to engage with questions of ecology and human culture more holistically.

We have explored the concept of "spatial amnesia" as articulated by Rob Nixon, shedding light on how the American wilderness obsession in environmental literature often overlooks the history of colonized peoples. This critical perspective explains why postcolonial criticism has approached "greencriticism" with suspicion.

Additionally, we have emphasized the significance of including battles between environmental activists and multinational corporations in postcolonial studies. Figures like Ken Saro-Wiwa have demonstrated the urgency of addressing environmental destruction and its devastating impact on communities in the Global South.

Furthermore, we have unveiled the presence of internal colonialism in the formally decolonized world, where questions of indigeneity and the environment reveal ongoing encroachments by global capital into natural and human resources. This underscores the persistent dynamics of plunder and colonialism that have defined capitalism.

Our examination of primitive accumulation, inspired by Karl Marx and Rosa Luxemburg, has illuminated capitalism's constant quest for new markets and resources, often at the expense of dispossessed populations. This concept has deep implications for understanding the inequalities inherent in capitalism, as evidenced by movements like Occupy Wall Street.

Finally, we have explored Dipesh Chakrabarty's concept of the Anthropocene and its call for a new universalism based on species thinking. This challenges postcolonial studies to engage with history and imagine alternative concepts of the commons and the commonwealth as we confront the environmental challenges of the Anthropocene.

It is significant to note that Ania Loomba has highlighted four areas:

(i) the environment;
(ii) the history and present of indigenous peoples and societies;
(iii) premodern histories and cultures; and
(iv) the ongoing colonisation of territories, labour and peoples by global capitalism.

All of these demand fresh thinking about colonial history, the shape of freedom, racial hierarchies, gender dynamics, and community.

As we conclude this journey, it is clear that postcolonial critique remains indispensable in addressing the intricate web of colonial history, environmental crises, and globalized capitalism. Future research should continue to bridge these realms, examining how colonial legacies shape contemporary environmental issues and exploring novel avenues for resistance and justice. Postcolonial studies, with its commitment to decolonization and

inclusivity, offers a vital framework for navigating the complexities of our interconnected world and striving for a more equitable and sustainable future. Finally, let us end with the closing remarks by Ania Loomba:

> "Whether or not we see World Literature or Globalization Studies as having superseded Postcolonial Studies, all of them will have to engage with these connections if they are to be more than academic trends. Postcolonial critique, however we interpret the term, can be meaningful only in conversation with scholarship and activism across the globe that strives to achieve a truly postcolonial world." (Loomba)

Works Cited:

Agarwal, B. (1999) 'The Gender and Environment Debate: Lessons from India', in N. Menon (ed.), Gender and Politics in India, New Delhi: Oxford University Press, pp. 96–142.

Bannerjee-Guha, S. (2013) 'Accumulation and Dispossession: Contradictions of Growth and Development in Contemporary India', South Asia: Journal of South Asian Studies 36(2): pp. 165–79.

Baroud, R. (2003) 'The Inherent Struggle for Freedom and Justice: Palestinians and Native Americans', CounterPunch, January 14, 2003, accessed at www. counterpunch.org/2003/01/14/palestinians-and-native-americans/ on August 14, 2014.

Baucom, I. (2012) 'The Human Shore: Postcolonial Studies in an Age of Natural Science', History of the Present: A Journal of Critical History 2(1) Spring: pp. 1–23.

Brown, N. A. (2014) 'The Logic of Settler Accumulation in a Landscape of Perpetual Vanishing', Settler Colonial Studies 4(1): pp. 1–26.

Brown, N. A. (2014) 'The Logic of Settler Accumulation in a Landscape of Perpetual Vanishing', Settler Colonial Studies 4(1): pp. 1–26.

Byrd, J. and Rothberg, M. (2011) 'Between Subalternity and Indigeneity', Interventions 13(1): pp. 1–12.

Byrne, J. (ed.) (2012) The Occupy Handbook, New York, Boston, and London: Back Bay Books.

Chakrabarty, D. (1992) 'Postcoloniality and the Artifice of History, Who Speaks for "Indian" Pasts?', Representations 37, Winter: pp. 1–24.

Chakrabarty, D. (2009) 'The Climate of History: Four Theses', Critical Inquiry 35:2, Winter: pp. 197–222.

Chakravartty, P. and da Silva, D. F. (2012) 'Accumulation, Dispossession, and Debt: The Racial Logic of Global Capital

Cooppan, V. (1996) 'Inner Territories: Postcoloniality and the Legacies of Psychoanalysis', Ph.D. Dissertation, Stanford University.

Cooppan, V. (1999) 'W(h)ither Post-colonial Studies? Towards the Transnational Study of Race and Nation', in Laura Chrisman and Benita Parry (eds), Postcolonial Theory and Criticism, Woodbridge: Boydell and Brewer, pp. 1–35.

Cooppan, V. (2005) 'The Ruins of Empire: The National and Global Politics of America's Return to Rome' in A. Loomba, Suvir Kaul, Matti Bunzl, Antoinette Burton, and Jed Esty

(eds), Postcolonial Studies and Beyond, Durham, NC: Duke University Press, pp. 80–100.

Coulthard, G. S. (2007) 'Subjects of Empire: Indigenous Peoples and the "Politics of Recognition" in Canada', Contemporary Political Theory 6(4): pp. 437–60

Darwish, M. (n. d.) 'Speech of the Red Indian' accessed at www.arabicpoems.webs.com/mahmouddarwishspoems13.htm#433702685 on July 15, 2014.

Guha, R. and Martinez-Alier, J. (1997) Varieties of Environmentalism: Essays North and South, London: Earthscan.

Harvey, D. (2005) The New Imperialism, New York: Oxford University Press.

Loomba, A. (2015). Colonialism / Postcolonialism: New Critical Idiom (3nd ed.). New York Routledge.

Luxemburg, R. (1951) Accumulation of Capital, trans. A. Schwarzschild, London: Routledge and Kegan Paul.

Morrison, T. (1993) 'On the Back of Blacks', Time, November 18, p. 57.

Nixon, R. (1994) Homelands, Harlem and Hollywood, New York, and London: Routledge.

Nixon, R. (2005) 'Environmentalism and Postcolonialism', in A. Loomba, Suvir Kaul, Matti Bunzl, Antoinette Burton and Jed Esty (eds), Postcolonial Studies and Beyond, Durham, NC: Duke University Press, pp. 233–51.

Palit, C. (2003) 'Monsoon Risings', New Left Review 21 (May-June), pp. 81–100.

Roy, Ananya. (2010) Poverty Capital: Microfinance and the Making of Development, New York and London: Routledge.

Roy, Arundhati. (2010) 'Walking with the Comrades', Outlook, March 29, 2010, accessed at www.outlookindia.com/article/Walking-With-The-Comrades/ 264738 on December 31, 2014.

Roy, Arundhati. (2014) 'Doctor and the Saint' Introduction to The Annihilation of Caste, by B. R. Ambedkar, New Delhi: Navayana.

Sen, R. (2011) 'Race and Occupy Wall Street', The Nation, November 26, 2011, accessed at www.thenation.com/article/164212/race-and-occupy-wall-street on September 4, 2014.

Shiva, V. (1988) Staying Alive: Women, Ecology and Survival in India, New Delhi: Kali; London: Zed Books.

Spivak, G C. (2013) 'Bring Down the Power Structures', Powision, February 18, 2013, accessed at www.uni-leipzig.de/~powision/wordpress/magazin/gayatri-chakravorty-spivak/ on August 14, 2014.

Warrior, R. (2014) 'Palestine Without Smears: Why Israel and Natives Aren't Natural Allies', Indian Country Today Media Network January 29, 2014, accessed at http://indiancountrytodaymedianetwork.com/2014/01/29/palestine-withoutsmears-why-israel-and-natives-arent-natural-allies on August, 19 2014.